

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/444,774	11	/22/1999	MICHAEL G MIKURAK	9073 EXAMINER		
29838	7590	03/17/2004				
		LFF & DONNEI	DURAN, ARTHUR D			
PLAZA VII, 45 SOUTH S				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609				3622		

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/444,774	MIKURAK, MICHAE	LG				
7.	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Arthur Duran	3622	NW				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sh et with the c	orrespondenc add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. S  136(a) and the appropriate ext  fee. The appropriate ext  the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 70-86.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							
		janes W. Primary e					

Application No.

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/444,774





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has added the new feature of "and evaluate" to the Independent claim. Also, the combination of Webber and Whipple is being utilized in the Final rejection dated 1/2/04 because of additional language added in the Amendment C dated 1/2/1/03.